## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE W	VESTERN DISTRICT OF WIICHIGAN
	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Turonald Frazier  Defendant	Case No. 1:10-mj-00008
	er conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, endant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings o	f Fact
_	ne defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S. a federal offense a state or local offense that would kisted – that is	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), which the prison term is 10 years or more.	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.
_	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years	s or more is prescribed in:
_	a felony committed after the defendant had been convict U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local of	
_	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:  a minor victim	
	the possession or use of a firearm or destru- a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon
	ne offense described in finding (1) was committed while the declaration of the declaration of the committed while the declaration of the declaration o	lefendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date fense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	ndings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that erson or the community. I further find that defendant has not	
	Alternative Finding	gs (A)
<u>√</u> (1) Th	nere is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com	mitted an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	prescribed in:*
_	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	ne defendant has not rebutted the presumption established bill reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa	
<b>/</b>	Alternative Finding	gs (B)
	here is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
<u>√</u> (2) Th	here is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the saf	
	Part II – Statement of the Reas	ons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by  $\checkmark$  clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:

- 1. Defendant has an extensive criminal history.
- 2. Defendant has previously been in escape and absconder status.
- 3. Defendant has violated conditions of parole.
- 4. Defendant has used illicit substances while under parole supervision.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	April 2, 2010	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	